# Discovery of Monoclonal Antibodies Targeting Myeloid Checkpoint SIRP $\alpha$ To Enhance Anti-Tumor Immunity



Sim J<sup>1</sup>, Sangalang E<sup>1</sup>, Sockolosky J<sup>1</sup>, Chen A<sup>1</sup>, Harrabi O<sup>1</sup>, Doyle L<sup>1</sup>, Kauder S<sup>1</sup>, Harriman B<sup>3</sup>, Izquierdo S<sup>3</sup>, Carter J<sup>2</sup>, Wibowo AS<sup>2</sup>, Kuo T<sup>1</sup>, Wan H<sup>1</sup>, Pons J<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ALX Oncology; <sup>2</sup>Helix Biostructures; <sup>3</sup>Ligand Pharmaceuticals

# Background & Objective

Signal-regulatory protein  $\alpha$  (SIRP $\alpha$ ) is an innate immune checkpoint receptor expressed primarily on myeloid cells and neurons<sup>1</sup>. SIRP $\alpha$  suppresses innate immunity upon interaction with its ligand, CD47. Targeting the CD47-SIRP $\alpha$ pathway represents a novel therapeutic approach to enhance anti-cancer immunity. Ongoing clinical trials to inhibit this pathway through targeting CD47 have shown promising results in reducing tumor burden<sup>2</sup>. Unlike CD47 which is expressed ubiquitously, SIRP $\alpha$  expression is more restricted. Therefore, targeting SIRP $\alpha$  may result in differential safety and efficacy profiles versus that of CD47-targeted therapies.

Objectives of our study:

- Discover anti-SIRPα antibodies that display anti-tumor activities, bind prevalent alleles of SIRPα (v1, v2) in global patient population, crossreactive to mouse and monkey SIRPα for therapeutic translation.
- Identify diverse anti-SIRPα antibodies as useful tools to interrogate biology of CD47-SIRPα pathway.

#### Anti-SIRPα antibodies with diverse binding profiles, SIRP reactivity & broad epitope coverage

 Majority of anti-SIRPα antibodies bind both human SIRPα v1 and v2 and cross-react with cynomolgus and mouse SIRPα (m129, NOD, BL6); a subset has specificity for hSIRPα v1 (clones 1, 123, 179, and 194), hSIRPα v2 (clone 110); a small number bind SIRPα, SIRPγ, not SIRPβ (clones 1, 9, 93, 106); SIRPα, SIRPβ1, not SIRPγ (clones 173, 174) and one specific for SIRPα with limited binding to SIRPβ1 and SIRPγ (clone 179).



# Crystal structures of anti-SIRP Fab fragments in complex with SIRP $\!\alpha$

 High resolution structures (1.83-2.68 A) of 5 Fabs in complex with IgV domain of SIRPα were elucidated. The antibodies are blocker 119 (bin1), kick-off 115 (bin2), non-blockers 136 (bin3), 3 (bin4) and 218 (bin5)



 Binding epitopes of the 5 Fabs to SIRPα and their respective overlapping binding sites with other Fabs are in agreement with the epitope binning results. The binding regions are colored accordingly in the space filled and Venn diagrams shown below.

### Methods

- Chicken immunization and gel encapsulated microenvironment (GEM) screen. Wildtype and human antibody transgenic chickens were used for immunization<sup>3</sup>. GEM screen consists of a single-antibody secreting B-cell encapsulated in a droplet containing up to 3 different fluorescent reporter beads each coated with a target antigen of interest. B-cell clones that produce antibodies that bind to the immobilized targets were detected with a secondary antibody against chicken IgY, visualized via fluorescent microscopy, isolated, and sequenced.
- *Cloning and expression of scFv and antibodies*. V-genes were amplified from selected B-cell clones and subcloned as scFv-Fc for expression in HEK293FS. Select sc-Fv-IgG1 clones were reformatted as full IgG antibody and expressed in HEK293FS.
- Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR). Single concentration (100 nM) off-rate screen was carried out to profile binding to various SIRPα (human v1, human v2, mouse NOD/BL6/BALBc, cynomolgus), human SIRPβ and human SIRPγ. Epitope binning was carried out using a classical sandwich approach. For K<sub>D</sub> determination, SIRP analytes were injected in a "one-shot" kinetic mode and flowed over immobilized anti-SIRPα antibodies.
- *Crystallization of Fab:SIRPα complexes*. The antibodies were generated as Fabs with a His-tag at C-terminus of truncated hinge domain. Crystallization used sparse matrix screening kits from Qiagen.
- *Phagocytosis*. *In vitro* monocyte-derived macrophages were polarized using M-CSF as described<sup>4</sup>.

## Results

#### Chicken immunization and GEM screen

 Based on low homology between chicken SIRPα and human, mouse & cynomolgus SIRPα, chickens were used for immunization.



Epitope binning showed the antibodies can be divided into 6 distinct bins: blockers (bin 1), kick-offs (bin 2), and non-blockers that subdivide into 4 bins (bin 3, 4, 5, and 6).



 Anti-SIRPα antibodies were categorized into three types based on their inhibition of CD47-SIRPα interaction – blocking, non-blocking or kick-off antibodies.



# Anti-SIRPα antibodies can potentiate macrophage phagocytosis and enhance anti-tumor activity in vivo

• Blockers and non-blockers anti-SIRPα antibodies potentiated macrophage phagocytosis of DLD-1 tumor cells in combination with cetuximab



- Allele specific anti-SIRPα antibody (v1) fails to enhance phagocytosis by v1/v2 and v2/v2 macrophages. Hence, pan-allele binding anti-SIRPα antibodies are critical for clinical development to target diverse patient populations (A).
- Blocker anti-SIRPα in combination with PD-1 results in tumor inhibition in MC38

- Immunization was carried out by a multi-allele (IgV domains of hSIRPα v1 and v2) and multi-species regimen to generate pan-allelic and -mammalian reactive antibodies.
- Eight immunized chickens produced high titer antibodies against SIRPα v1, v2 and mouse SIRPα. Various antigen-coated GEM bead combinations were used that facilitated discovery of anti-SIRPα with unique profiles. Over 200 anti-SIRPα clones were identified and cloned as scFv-Fc for SPR characterization.



Chicken <sup>a</sup>		Immunizati	ion Scheme			No. of scFv-Fc		
	Initial	Boost 1	Boost 2	Boost 3	Bead 1	Bead 2	Bead 3	Screened
WT 21288	V1	V2	V1	V2	V1 V2	V2 NOD	NOD Complex⁵	34
WT 21292	V1	V2	m129	V1	V1	V2	m129	9
					V2	m129	Complex	
SynVH 22260	V1	V2	V1	V2	V1	V2	NOD	12
					V2	NOD	Complex	
SynVH 22280	V1	V2	m129	V1	V1	V2	M129	60
					V2	m129	Complex	
					V2	BALBc	Complex	
					V2	Complex	-	
Omni 22843	V1	NOD	V2	NOD	V1	V2	SIRPγ	50
					V1	V2	Complex	
					V2	BALBc	Complex	
					V2	Complex	-	
Omni 23504	V1	NOD	V2	NOD	V1	V2	Complex	4
					V1	V2	SIRΡγ	
Omni 23941	V1	NOD	V2	NOD	V1	V2	Complex	10
					V1	V2	SIRΡγ	
Omni 23975	V1	NOD	V2	NOD	V1	V2	Complex	21
					V1	V2	SIRPy	

a. SynVH chickens contain humanized V<sub>H</sub> immunoglobulin (Ig) repertoires paired with natural chicken light chain repertoire; OmniChickens<sup>®</sup> contain humanized V<sub>H</sub> and V<sub>L</sub> Ig repertoires; b. Complex = high affinity CV1 SIRPα variant and IgS domain of CD47 is pre-complexed before GEM screen



• Anti-SIRPα antibodies were first captured on a chip. Increasing concentrations of CD47 (0 to 1500 nM) were added to 100 nM of high affinity SIRPα prior to injection

At saturating concentrations of CD47 (>100 nM, antibodies that bind overlapping epitopes on SIRPα (blocking) fail to bind the SIRPα/CD47 complex, whereas antibodies that bind non-competitive epitopes (non-blocking) form a classical sandwich with the SIRPα/CD47 complex. A third type, kick-off antibodies, form a transient complex between antibody:SIRPα:CD47 prior to displacement of CD47 from antibody-bound SIRPα

#### Anti-SIRP $\alpha$ antibodies bind with high affinity to SIRP $\alpha$

- A diverse panel of antibodies bind to human SIRPα v1 and v2 with high affinity ranging from low nanomolar to picomolar (K<sub>D</sub>, M).
- A select number of clones (21, 119, 135, 136) also bind similarly to human SIRPα alleles and cynomolgus SIRPα; in addition, clones 21 and 136 also cross-react with mouse SIRPα alleles which will facilitate preclinical mouse studies.

Bin	Antibody	Source	V1	V2	Cyno	NOD	BL6	BALB/c	SIRPγ	SIRPβ1	CD47 Blocki
1	119	Н	1.83E-10	6.82E-11	1.12E-10	NB	NB	NB	2.67E-10	3.42E-10	Block
1	135	Н	1.51E-10	2.90E-11	9.69E-11	NB	NB	NB	5.39E-10	1.10E-10	Block
1	21	S	<1.00E-12	<1.00E-12	3.61E-12	5.47E-10	4.60E-10	1.05E-09	<1.00E-12	<1.00E-12	Block
2	115	Н	4,26E-10	1.86E-09	2.41E-09	NB	NB	NB	В	В	Kick-off
3	136	Н	4.58E-10	1.63E-09	2.15E-09	5.54E-10	1.27E-08	3.50E-10	2.39E-08	4.35E-09	Non-block
4	3	W	1.62E-10	7.67E-11	2.29E-09	1.63E-09	3.65E-09	1.16E-09	8.36E-08	1.63E-09	Non-Block
4	173	Н	9.37E-10	9.28E-09	4.46E-08	NB	NB	NB	NB	В	Non-Block
4	209	S	1.71E-10	5.01E-09	3.90E-08	NB	NB	NB	8.99E-09	В	Non-Block
4	213	S	6.05E-09	1.69E-09	4.49E-08	NB	NB	NB	2.02E-09	1.71E-08	Non-Block
5	123	Н	6.05E-10	NB	1.62E-09	NB	NB	NB	7.47E-10	7.07E-08	Non-Block
5	149	Н	8.73E-10	2.38E-10	7.64E-09	NB	NB	NB	1.89E-09	2.06E-10	Non-Block
5	161	Н	1.03E-09	1.27E-10	6.35E-09	NB	NB	NB	2.84E-09	2.63E-09	Non-Block
5	162	Н	4.50E-10	1.57E-08	1.26E-08	NB	NB	NB	3.97E-09	3.00E-09	Non-Block
5	194	Н	4.97E-10	NB	9.11E-10	NB	NB	NB	9.47E-10	5.36E-08	Non-Block
5	218	S	1.23E-10	2.76E-10	5.99E-11	NB	NB	NB	6.36E-11	В	Non-Block
6	45	W	6.63E-11	1.34E-10	NB	NB	NB	NB	2.71E-08	1.06E-08	Non-Block

All antibodies are screened as full IgG1 except 173, 209, 213, 123, 149, 161, 162, 194, 218 are screened as scFv-Fc
NB = no binding; B = binding confirmed and exact K<sub>D</sub> value was not determined

syngeneic model (B).



## Concluding remarks

- A panel of anti-SIRPα antibodies with diverse binding profiles, SIRP reactivity and broad epitope coverage were identified and will be useful tools for interrogation of the SIRP biology and CD47-SIRPα checkpoint
- Selected anti-SIRPα antibodies display high affinity binding to both human SIRPα v1 and v2, species cross-reactivity, and anti-tumor activities. These anti-SIRPα antibodies are attractive for further development to evaluate the therapeutic potential of targeting SIRPα in cancer patients.

#### References:

- 1. Barclay AN, Van den Berg TK (2014) Annu Rev Immunol 32:25–50.
- 2. Lakhani N, et al. (2018) *SITC*, p Abstract nr P335.
- Ching KH, et al. (2018) *MAbs* 10(1):71–80.
- 4. Kauder SE, et al. (2018) *PLoS One* 13(8):e0201832.
- 5. Iwamoto C, et al. (2014) *Exp Hematol* 42(3):163–171.e1

